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Problem and data have been kindly provided by DANZAS


- activated international terminals
-     -         - international lines
__ internal collection/distribution


## PROBLEM DEFINITION

The company is reorganizing the international transportation:

- which terminals have to be closed and where to open new terminals
- size of the terminals
- assign international lines to terminals
- assign local customers to terminals
- evaluate the introduction of inter-terminal lines


## COST ANALYSIS

## collection/distribution carried out by third parties

$\Rightarrow$ the costs are linear in the transported volume
international transportation carried out by DANZAS
$\Rightarrow$ concave costs (economies of scale) different shapes depending on the lengths
internal flow in terminals
$\Rightarrow$ concave costs

## International transportation costs



## FLOW MODEL



FLOW MODEL


## FLOW MODEL

commodities jk (domestic origin j, international destination k)
$d_{j k}=$ volume of goods to be transported from $j$ to $k$
= amount of flow of commodity jk going to terminal $h$
= amount of flow of commodity jk inside terminal $h$
$x_{h^{\prime} k}^{j k}=$ amount of flow of commodity $j k$ going to destination $k$ from terminal $h$
$z_{h}=1$ if terminal $h$ is activated, 0 otherwise

## FLOW MODEL

$\min \sum_{j} \sum_{h} f_{c}^{j h}\left(\sum_{k} x_{j h}^{j k}\right)+\sum_{h} f_{m}^{h}\left(\sum_{j k} x_{h h^{\prime}, z_{h}}^{j k}\right)+\sum_{k} \sum_{h} f_{i}^{h k}\left(\sum_{j} x_{h^{\prime} k}^{j k}\right)$ $E^{\mathbf{j k}} \boldsymbol{x}^{\mathbf{j k}}=\delta^{\mathbf{j k}} \quad$ for each commodity $\mathbf{j k}$ [flow conservation]
$x_{h h^{\prime}}^{j k} \leq u_{h} z_{h}$
for each commodity jk and terminal $h$
$E^{j k}$ is the node/arc incidence matrix related to commodity $j k$ $\delta^{j k}$ is the demand vector
$u_{h}$ is an upper bound of the flow passing by terminal $h$

## LINEARIZATION OF SEPARABLE COST FUNCTIONS


$x=\sum_{l=0}^{k} a_{l} \xi_{l}: \quad f(x)=\sum_{l=0}^{k} b_{l} \xi_{l} ; \quad \sum_{l=0}^{k} \xi_{l}=1 ; \quad \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \eta_{l}=1 ;$
$\sum_{j=0}^{1} \eta_{j} \geq \sum_{j=/+1}^{k} \xi_{j} \geq \sum_{j=/+1}^{k} \eta_{j} \quad$ for $1 \leq I \leq k-2$
$0 \leq \xi_{0} \leq \eta_{0}: \quad 0 \leq \xi_{k} \leq \eta_{k-1}: \quad \eta_{l} \in\{0,1\}$ for $l=1, \ldots, k-1$
$x$ is a convex combination of two consecutive interval endpoints
formulation "locally ideal" [Padberg]

## "ASSIGNMENT" MODEL

In the previous model the flow of one commodity can split between two or more terminals (even though it is not convenient).
$x_{j h k}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { commodity } j k \text { is assigned to terminal } h \\ 0 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}$
the flow collected by $h$ from customer $j$ is given by: $\sum_{k} d_{j k} x_{j h k}$
the flow inside terminal $h$ is given by:
$\sum_{j k} d_{j k} x_{j h k}$
the flow on international line hk is given by

$$
\sum_{j} d_{j k} x_{j h k}
$$

## "ASSIGNMENT" MODEL

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min & \sum_{j} \sum_{h} f_{c}^{j h}\left(\sum_{k} d_{j k} x_{j h k}\right)+\sum_{h} f_{m}^{h}\left(\sum_{j k} d_{j k} x_{j h k}, z_{h}\right) \\
& +\sum_{k} \sum_{h} f_{i}^{h k}\left(\sum_{j} d_{j k} x_{j h k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\sum_{h} x_{j h k}=1 \quad \text { for each commodity } j k
$$

$$
x_{j h k} \leq z_{h} \quad \text { for each commodity } j k \text {, for each terminal } h
$$

$$
x_{j h k}, z_{h} \in\{0,1\}
$$

## "ASSIGNMENT" MODEL

Inter-terminal flows
$x_{j h r k}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { commodity } j k \text { uses terminals } h \text { and } r \text { in the order } \\ 0 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}$
the constraints are modified accordingly

LINEARIZATION OF SEPARABLE COST FUNCTIONS (2)
$x=a_{0}+y_{1}+\ldots+y_{k}$
$f(x)=b_{0}+\frac{b_{1}-b_{0}}{a_{1}-a_{0}} y_{1}+\ldots+\frac{b_{k}-b_{k-1}}{a_{k}-a_{k-1}} y_{k}$
$0 \leq y_{1} \leq a_{1}-a_{l-1}$
$y_{l} \geq\left(a_{l}-a_{l-1}\right) z^{\prime}, \quad y_{l+1} \leq\left(a_{l+1}-a_{11}\right) z^{\prime}$, for $l=1, \ldots, k-1$


Also this formulation is "locally ideal" [Padberg]

## VALID INEQUALITIES

let $S$ be a subset of commodities and $h$ a terminal such that

$$
\sum_{j k \in S} d_{j k}>a_{l}^{h}
$$

if all the commodities in $S$ are routed through terminal $h$

$$
\Rightarrow z_{1}^{h}=1
$$

$$
\sum_{j k \in S} x_{j h k}-|S|+1 \leq z_{l}^{h}
$$

separable by solving small knapsack problems

## COMPUTATIONAL RESULTS

4 instances derived from real data provided by DANZAS
domestic areas / terminals / international destinations

|  | "assignment" model |  | "flow" model |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | \# var. | \# constr. | \# var. | \# constr. |
| $3 / 2 / 2$ | 37 | 110 | 26 | 21 |
| $6 / 4 / 5$ | 206 | 241 | 624 | 540 |
| $15 / 10 / 10$ | 1930 | 2214 | 2036 | 920 |
| $60 / 9 / 10$ | 1930 | 2190 | 1084 | 734 |

## COMPUTATIONAL RESULTS

|  | "assignment" model |  |  | "flow" model |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | LP | LP+VI | ILP | LP | ILP |
| $3 / 2 / 2$ | 177781 | 209239 | 209239 | 172265 | 209239 |
| $3 / 2 / 2 /$ IT | 177781 | 209239 | 209239 | 172265 | 209239 |
| $6 / 4 / 5$ | 145788 | 156479 | 158268 | 114608 | 158268 |
| $6 / 4 / 5 /$ IT | 122955 | 137777 | 158268 | 114608 | 158268 |
| $15 / 10 / 10$ | 5755093 | 5805112 | $6255961^{*}$ | 5487518 | $6249885^{*}$ |
| $15 / 10 / 10 /$ IT | 5497962 | 5542283 | $6045015^{\star}$ | 5243240 | $6081742^{*}$ |
| $60 / 9 / 10$ | 1218631 | 1231530 | 1408750 | 1093086 | 1408750 |
| $60 / 9 / 10 /$ IT | 1218631 | 1231485 | 1408750 | 1093086 | 1408750 |

ILP solved with CPLEX 6.6
*best integer after 1000 seconds (about 1-3\% from optimum)

COMPUTATIONAL RESULTS

|  | "assignment" model |  | "flow" model |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | LP +VI | LP |  |
| $3 / 2 / 2$ | $15.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $17.7 \%$ |
| $3 / 2 / 2 /$ IT | $15.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $17.7 \%$ |
| $6 / 4 / 5$ | $7.9 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $27.6 \%$ |
| $6 / 4 / 5 /$ IT | $22.3 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ | $27.6 \%$ |
| $15 / 10 / 10$ | $8.0 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ |
| $15 / 10 / 10 /$ IT | $9.0 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $13.8 \%$ |
| $60 / 9 / 10$ | $13.5 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ |
| $60 / 9 / 10 /$ IT | $13.5 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ |

Comparison with the best solution obtained with 9 open terminals

|  | optimal solution | 9 terminals | gap |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $60 / 9 / 10$ | 1408750 | 1572002 | $11.6 \%$ |

## COMPUTATIONAL TIMES

|  | "assignment" model |  |  | "flow" model |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | LP | LP+VI | ILP | LP | ILP |
| $3 / 2 / 2$ | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| $3 / 2 / 2 /$ IT | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| $6 / 4 / 5$ | 0.04 | 0.25 | 0.37 | 0.04 | 0.11 |
| $6 / 4 / 5 /$ IT | 0.37 | 0.06 | 0.84 | 0.16 | 0.42 |
| $15 / 10 / 10$ | 0.99 | 4.56 | 1000.00 | 0.36 | 1000.00 |
| $15 / 10 / 10 /$ IT | 68.28 | 9.94 | 1000.00 | 8.05 | 1000.00 |
| $60 / 9 / 10$ | 2.17 | 11.27 | 582.00 | 0.68 | 8.97 |
| $60 / 9 / 10 /$ IT | 60.10 | 41.06 | 1968.00 | 16.12 | 424.00 |

